

Remarks

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Interview Summary

On or about May 18, 2010, the Examiner left a voicemail message for Applicants' attorney indicating that he had suggestions for amending claim 1 to place the application in condition for allowance, subject to cancelling claims 7-11 which have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected subject matter. Applicants' attorney was on vacation at that time, and did not return the Examiner's call until on or about May 24, 2010, at which time the Examiner indicated that he had already turned in an Office Action. Nevertheless, Applicants' attorney discussed the amendments proposed by the Examiner for placing the application in condition for allowance. Those amendments are set forth in amended claim 1 above.

Response to Claim Objections and Rejections

The Examiner has objected to claim 1 for the reasons set forth in item 3 on page 2 of the Office Action, and has also rejected the claims under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112 for the reasons set forth in item 4. The amendments to claim 1 set forth above are responsive to the objections and rejections, rendering both of them moot.

Claims 7-11 have been cancelled, as discussed with the Examiner. Applicants emphasize that this is without prejudice to their rights under 35 U.S.C. §121 to file a divisional application for the subject matter of these claims.

In view of the foregoing amendments, the application is now considered to be in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Morten SYSLAK et al.

By /Michael R. Davis/

Digitally signed by /Michael R. Davis/
DN: cn=/Michael R. Davis/, o=WLP, ou,
email=mdavis@wenderoth.com, c=US
Date: 2010.07.28 12:15:01 -04'00'

Michael R. Davis
Registration No. 25,134
Attorney for Applicants

MRD/acs
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
July 28, 2010